



കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

KERALA GAZETTE

അധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ചതുന്തര്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വല്യം 7
Vol. VII

തിരുവനന്തപുരം,
ചെമ്പ്
Thiruvananthapuram,
Tuesday

2018 സെപ്റ്റംബർ 18
18th September 2018
1194 കന്റി 2
2nd Kannri 1194
1940 ഭാദ്രാ 27
27th Bhadra 1940

നമ്പർ
No. 37

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Skills Department

Labour and Skills (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 974/2018/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th August 2018.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Checkmate Service Private Limited C. C. 57/2762, Opposite Devi Temple, Diwans Road, M. G. Road, Ernakulam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Sasi, V., s/o Velukkutti Panicker, Thiranivila, Narayanavilasam Kattachalkkuzhi P. O., Thiruvananthapuram-695 501 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10(1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Sasi, V., Thiranivila, Narayanavilasam, Kattachalkkuzhi P. O., by the management of M/s Checkmate Services Private Limited, CC 57/2762 Opposite Devi Temple, Diwans Road, M. G. Road, Ernakulam is justifiable or not? If not what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 975/2018/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th August 2018.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Jolly Flex, No. XVIII/495-B, Plot No. 6,7 &8, Rubber Park, Valayanchirangara P. O., Ernakulam District-683 556 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Rajendra Prasad, V., "Prasadam", Puhiya Road, Eroor North, Thripunithura, Kochi 682 306 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10(1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Sri Rajendra Prasad, V., Technical supervisor, by the management of Jolly Flex No. XVIII/495-B, Rubber Park, Valayanchirangara P. O., Ernakulam District-683 556 is justifiable or not? If not, what are the relief he is entitled to?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 976/2018/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th August 2018.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The General Manager, Tata Global Beverages Ltd. (Tetley Division), K. P. K. Menon Road, Wellington Island, Cochin-3 (2) Sri Fouzil, Contractor, Excellent Services, Port Users Complex No. 5, Ex-Bharat Warehousing Building, K. P. K. Menon Road, Wellington Island, Cochin-3 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Unit Secretary, Social Democratic Trade Union (SDTU), Tata Global Beverages Ltd., Workers Union, Wellington Island, Cochin-3 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10(1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dispute raised by the Social Democratic Trade Union for the Regularization of Contract Workers of Tata Global Beverages Ltd., Wellington Island without following the seniority norms, is sustainable or not ? If yes, what reliefs the workers are entitled to?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 977/2018/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th August 2018.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Jacob Vargheese, Kakkuzhiyil Veedu, payyappadi P. O., Kottayam (2) Sri Liyas Vargheese, Regal Buildings (Chempakam Press), S. H. Mount P. O. , Nagampadam Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kottayam Press Workers Union (C. I. T. U.), Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the termination of Sri N. M. Ravi from Service by the management of Regal Printers, S. H. Mount, Kottayam is justifiable ? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to"?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 979/2018/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th August 2018.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri M. Thulaseedharakkurup, Licensee, K. S. R. T. C. Canteen, Kottayam (Tharayil Parambil Veedu, Mariyappalli P. O., Kottayam) (2). Sri K. A. Divakaran, Manager, K. S. R. T. C. Canteen, Kottayam (Illipparampil House, Muttambalam P. O., Kottayam) and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Joy Joseph, Nirappel, Ayarkunnum P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Sri Joy Joseph with effect from 27-4-2016 by the management of K. S. R. T. C. Canteen Kottayam is justifiable? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

LOLA, P.,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

Labour and Skills (E)

ORDER

G.O. (Rt.) No. 988/2018/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th August 2018.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between General Secretary, the new Indian Express Employees Association (Kerala) Narayaneeyam, Statue Junction, Thripoonithura, Kochi-682 301 and the General Manager (Kerala), Express Publications Madurai Ltd., Express House, Kaloor, Kochi-682 017, in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10(1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) and by Section 17(2) of the working Journalists and Other Newspaper Employees (Conditions of Service) and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1955 (No. 45 of 1955) the Government hereby directs that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the claim for D. A. arrears from July, 2010 to October 2011 as per Manisana Wage Board to the employees of the New Indian Express by the management is justifiable? If not what relief they are entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

SHJA, C. G.,
Under Secretary to Government.

നിയമ വകുപ്പ്

നിയമ (എച്ച്)

വിജ്ഞാപനം

(1)

നമ്പർ 4252/എച്ച്3/2018/നിയമം.

തിരുവനന്തപുരം, 2018 ജൂലൈ 31.

1952-ലെ നോട്ടോറ്റ് ആക്റ്റിന്റെ (1952-ലെ 53-ാം കേസ് ആക്റ്റ്) 3-ാം 5-ാം വകുപ്പുകളും 1956-ലെ നോട്ടോറ്റ് ചടങ്ങങ്ങളിലെ 8-ാം ചട്ടമിന്റെ (4)-ാം ഉപചട്ടവും കൂടിവായിച്ച് പ്രകാരം നൽകുന്ന പ്രക്രിയ അധികാരങ്ങൾ വിനിയോഗിച്ച് കേരള സർക്കാർ, ശ്രീ. മുരളീകുമാർ, കെ., അധികാരി, ലക്ഷ്മി നിവാസ്, കനാൽ റോഡ്, നോർത്ത് പറവുർ, എറണാകുളം-683 513 എന്നയാളെ എറണാകുളം റവന്യൂ ജില്ലയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തുന്നതു പറവും താലൂക്ക് പ്രദേശത്തെക്ക് 19-8-2018-ാം തീയതി മുതൽ വീണ്ടും അഞ്ചു വർഷകാലയള വിലേക്ക് നോട്ടോറ്റിയായി (രജിസ്റ്റർ നമ്പർ 8/2013/EKM) ഇതിനാൽ പുനർന്നിയമിക്കുന്നു.

(2)

നമ്പർ 6481/എച്ച്3/2018/നിയമം.

തിരുവനന്തപുരം, 2018 ജൂലൈ 31.

1952-ലെ നോട്ടോറ്റ് ആക്റ്റിന്റെ (1952-ലെ 53-ാം കേസ് ആക്റ്റ്) 3-ാം 5-ാം വകുപ്പുകളും 1956-ലെ നോട്ടോറ്റ് ചടങ്ങങ്ങളിലെ 8-ാം ചട്ടമിന്റെ (4)-ാം ഉപചട്ടവും കൂടിവായിച്ച് പ്രകാരം നൽകുന്ന പ്രക്രിയ അധികാരങ്ങൾ വിനിയോഗിച്ച് കേരള സർക്കാർ, ശ്രീ. വിജു ചക്രവർത്തിൻ (വിജു, പി. ഓ.), അധികാരി, പകാലയ്ക്കൽ ഹൗസ്, കടത്തി ഇന്ത്യൻ, എ. കെ. ജി. റോഡ്, മാർക്കറ്റ് പി. ഓ. മുവാറുപുഴ, എറണാകുളം-686 673 എന്നയാളെ എറണാകുളം റവന്യൂ ജില്ലയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തുന്നതു പറവും താലൂക്ക് പ്രദേശത്തെക്ക് 2-9-2018-ാം തീയതി മുതൽ വീണ്ടും അഞ്ചു വർഷകാലയള വിലേക്ക് നോട്ടോറ്റിയായി (രജിസ്റ്റർ നമ്പർ 6/2013/EKM) ഇതിനാൽ പുനർന്നിയമിക്കുന്നു.

(3)

നമ്പർ 20009/എച്ച്3/2018/നിയമം.

തിരുവനന്തപുരം, 2018 ജൂലൈ 31.

1952-ലെ നോട്ടോറ്റ് ആക്റ്റിന്റെ (1952-ലെ 53-ാം കേസ് ആക്റ്റ്) 3-ാം 5-ാം വകുപ്പുകളും 1956-ലെ നോട്ടോറ്റ് ചടങ്ങങ്ങളിലെ 8-ാം ചട്ടമിന്റെ (4)-ാം ഉപചട്ടവും കൂടിവായിച്ച് പ്രകാരം നൽകുന്ന പ്രക്രിയ അധികാരങ്ങൾ വിനിയോഗിച്ച് കേരള